## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA DAVENPORT DIVISION

	*	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	*	
	*	3:10-cr-00087
Plaintiff,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
PAUL BIEBER,	*	FINAL JURY
	*	INSTRUCTIONS
Defendant.	*	
	*	

## JURY QUESTION:

We're disagreeing on the definition of conspiracy (even with careful attention to instruction #11). Does this refer to a planned scheme or being at the table recognizing that illegal transactions are occurring?

## ANSWER:

Please refer to the jury instructions as a whole, and in particular instruction number 11. Beyond that the Court can not provide any additional assistance.

Dated this \_15th\_ day of June, 2011.

ROBERT W. PRATT, Chief Judge

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

We se disagrang on the regist on of conspiring ( iven with caregul attention to instance # 11).

Scheme or being at the table recognizing that integral transmetions that integral transmetions

Court Exhibit # 1